**CRP Livestock ISC-1 Assessment Summary**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Very dissatisfied | Somewhat dissatisfied | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | Somewhat satisfied | Very satisfied | Weighted average |
| **Q1. ISC strategic oversight and guidance** | | | | | | |
| Priorities and decision making are based on the CRP Livestock's strategy and take account of changes in internal and external environments that may impact on delivery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 |
| The ISC ensures that the CRP Livestock's overall program and plans are consistent with its strategy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4.50 |
| **Q2. ISC oversight of the CRP Livestock research portfolio** | | | | | | |
| Reports on the CRP LIvestock's science, including Flagships and cross-cutting issues, were well prepared and clearly articulated | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3.75 |
| The ISC focused on the right questions and issues in reviewing the CRP Livestock's program and operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 |
| **Q3. ISC meeting arrangements and engagement of members** | | | | | | |
| The meeting agenda was relevant and well organized | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 |
| Supporting material for the meeting was appropriate and provided in a timely manner | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.00 |
| Discussions were open and frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 |
| Adequate time was allowed for deliberations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 |
| **Q4. ISC interactions** | | | | | | |
| There is a high level of trust and respect among members of the ISC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 |
| There is a healthy relationship between the ISC members and the CRP Livestock Director | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 |

**Q5. Suggestions for improvement in content and organisation of ISC meetings**

* Summarise reports.
* Reports to be submitted by flagship leaders a reasonable time before the meeting and in line with CRP Director requests.
* Some of the presentations were too detailed with too many slides with too much information.

**Positive comments:**

* First meeting was very well organised allowing the committee to bond
* The meeting was very well organised. The contents lived up to my expectations.

**Q6. Suggestions for improvement in the support provided by CRP Livestock management for ISC meetings**

* None.

**Positive comments:**

* The support was excellent.
* Very satisfied with the support and planning.
* It was all very well managed and supported.

**Analysis**

**Strengths:**

* Priorities and decision making.
* Relevance and organisation of agenda.
* Open and frank discussions.
* Adequate time for deliberations.
* Trust and respect among ISC members
* Relationship between ISC members and CRP Livestock Director.
* Support provided by CRP Livestock management.

**Weaknesses:**

* Appropriateness and timeliness of supporting material for the meeting.
* Preparation and articulation of reports on the CRP Livestock’s science.
* Ensuring that the CRP Livestock’s overall program and plans are consistent with its strategy.
* Focus on the right questions and issues in reviewing the CRP Livestock’s program and operations.

**Areas for improvement:**

* Supporting material – timeliness, length of documents.
* Flagship Leaders’ reports – timeliness, compliance with requested format, shorter and less detailed, include executive summary.
* Presentations – shorter, less crowded/detailed slides.
* ISC strategic oversight – ensuring that the CRP Livestock’s program and plans are consistent with strategy.